Case: 19-60071 Document: 00514812428 Page: 1 Date Filed: 01/28/2019

United States Court of Appeals

FIFTH CIRCUIT OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE CLERK

TEL. 504-310-7700 600 S. MAESTRI PLACE, Suite 115 NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

January 28, 2019

Ms. Linda Dreeben
National Labor Relations Board
Appellate & Supreme Court Litigation Branch
1015 Half Street, S.E.
Suite 4163
Washington, DC 20570

Mr. Timothy L. Watson National Labor Relations Board Region 16 819 Taylor Street Room 8A24 Fort Worth, TX 76102-6178

No. 19-60071 STP Nuclear Operating Company v. NLRB Agency No. 16-CA-222349

Dear Ms. Dreeben, Mr. Watson,

You are served with the following document(s) under FED. R. APP. P. 15.

Petition for Review.

Special Guidance for Filing the Administrative Record: Pursuant to 5th Cir. R. 25.2, Electronic Case Filing (ECF) is mandatory for all counsel. Agencies responsible for filing the administrative record with this court are requested to electronically file the record via CM/ECF using one or more of the following events as appropriate:

Electronic Administrative Record Filed; Supplemental Electronic Administrative Record Filed; Sealed Electronic Administrative Record Filed; or Sealed Supplemental Electronic Administrative Record Filed.

Electronic records must meet the requirements listed below. Records that do not comply with these requirements will be rejected.

• Max file size 20 megabytes per upload.

• Where multiple uploads are needed, describe subsequent files as "Volume 2", "Volume 3", etc.

- Individual documents should remain intact within the same file/upload, when possible.
- Supplemental records must contain the supplemental documents only. No documents contained within the original record should be duplicated.

Electronic records are automatically paginated for the benefit of counsel and the court and provide an accurate means of citing to the record in briefs. A copy of the paginated electronic record is provided to all counsel at the time of filing via a Notice of Docket Activity (NDA). Upon receipt, counsel should save a copy of the paginated record to their local computer.

Agencies unable to provide the administrative record via docketing in CM/ECF may instead provide a copy of the record on a flash drive or CD which we will use to upload and paginate the record.

If the agency intends to file a certified list in lieu of the administrative record, it is required to be filed electronically. Paper filings will not be accepted. See FED. R. App. P. 16 and 17 as to the composition and time for the filing of the record.

ATTENTION ATTORNEYS: Attorneys are required to be a member of the Fifth Circuit Bar and to register for Electronic Case Filing. The "Application and Oath for Admission" form can be printed or downloaded from the Fifth Circuit's website, www.ca5.uscourts.gov. Information on Electronic Case Filing is available at www.ca5.uscourts.gov/cmecf/.

We recommend that you visit the Fifth Circuit's website, www.ca5.uscourts.gov and review material that will assist you during the appeal process. We especially call to your attention the Practitioner's Guide and the 5th Circuit Appeal Flow Chart, located in the Forms, Fees, and Guides tab.

Counsel who desire to appear in this case must electronically file a "Form for Appearance of Counsel" within 14 days from this date. You must name each party you represent, see FED. R. App. P. and 5^{TH} CIR. R. 12. The form is available from the Fifth Circuit's website, www.ca5.uscourts.gov. If you fail to electronically file the form, we will remove your name from our docket.

Sealing Documents on Appeal: Our court has a strong presumption of public access to our court's records, and the court scrutinizes any request by a party to seal pleadings, record excerpts, or other documents on our court docket. Counsel moving to seal matters must explain in particularity the necessity for sealing in our court. Counsel do not satisfy this burden by simply stating that the originating court sealed the matter, as the circumstances that justified sealing in the originating court may have changed or may not apply in an appellate proceeding. It is the obligation of counsel to justify a request to file under seal, just as it is their obligation to notify the court whenever sealing is no longer necessary. An unopposed motion to seal does not obviate a counsel's obligation to justify the motion to seal.

Case: 19-60071 Document: 00514812428 Page: 3 Date Filed: 01/28/2019

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk

By:

Majella A. Sutton, Deputy Clerk 504-310-7680

Enclosure(s)

cc w/encl:

Ms. Amber Michelle Rogers

Provided below is the court's official caption. Please review the parties listed and advise the court immediately of any discrepancies. If you are required to file an appearance form, a complete list of the parties should be listed on the form exactly as they are listed on the caption.

Case No. 19-60071

STP NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY,

Petitioner

V.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD,

Respondent